

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,719	CHENG, LAP-TAK ANDREW	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Caleen O. Sullivan	1795	

**All Participants:**

(1) Caleen O. Sullivan.

(2) John A. Langworthy.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 October 2007

**Time:** 10:30am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to discuss an Examiner's Amendment to claim 11 because the other claims in the application were in condition for allowance. Examiner informed applicant that the dependence of claim 11 was incorrect. Applicant agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to correct the dependence of claim 11 from claim 11 to claim 10.